

The—February 1891.

Under orders from the Dewan of Mysore, the right to collect tolls at Toll-gate at the Maranahalli Ghats in the Manjarabad Taluk, Hassan District, from 1st April 1891 to 31st March 1892, will be sold by public auction at the Taluk Cutcherry at Saklespur on Monday, the 16th March 1891.

2. The contractor who purchases the right is entitled to collect toll only at the rates mentioned in the schedule and he should conform himself to the rules published in the late Chief Commissioner's Notification No. 274, dated 4th November 1876.

3. The Hassan Deputy Commissioner reserves to himself the power of refusing or accepting the highest or any bid that may be offered.

4. The person whose bid is accepted shall at once deposit one-fourth of the amount bid for in advance and shall pay the remaining amount by six equal instalments on the 20th of every month commencing from the 20th of April 1891. He should also furnish a security, who shall execute a surety bond and shall present it duly registered for the regular payment of the monthly instalment.

5. If the deposit is not made and the security not furnished, the contract shall be sold again at the risk of the bidder. If any default is made in the payment of instalment, interest at one pie per rupee per month shall be levied till the date of payment and the contract shall also be liable to be sold again at the discretion of the Deputy Commissioner or at the request of the surety and the deficit, if any, shall be recovered from contractor or the surety as a revenue demand.

6. In addition to the amount bid for, the contractor is, subject to conditions stated in paras 4 and 5, bound to pay local cess at 1 anna per rupee of the contract amount paid into the Treasury.

7. The contractor is also bound to keep a true and correct account showing the daily collections and it shall be open to inspection by the Taluk and District authorities.

8. He shall also be bound to keep true accounts of traffic passing through the Ghat as specified in condition 7, for which purpose he shall bind himself to maintain at his own cost a resident Mutsaddi.

9. The contractor will have the use of the Government Toll house and gate, and he will be required to keep them in good repair.

10. The contractor shall be required to furnish to the Manjarabad Taluk Cutcherry, not later than the 1st of each month, a true return showing the traffic on the Ghat for the previous month.

11. The following is the schedule of rates:—

No.	Particulars.	Amount.	
		Rs.	A. P.
1	On every four-wheeled carriage	2	0 0
2	Do two do	1	0 0
3	Do ekka	0	4 0
4	Do hackney on springs	0	2 0
5	Do cart and hackney, not on springs, drawn by 8 bullocks, buffaloes, horses, ponies, asses or mules, if laden	1	8 0
6	Do do if not laden	0	8 0
7	Do do 6 bullocks, &c., if laden	0	12 0
8	Do do if not laden	0	6 0
9	Do do 4 bullocks, &c., if laden	0	8 0
10	Do do if not laden	0	4 0
11	Do do 2 bullocks, &c., if laden	0	4 0
12	Do do if not laden	0	2 0
13	Do buffaloes or bullocks, per head, if laden	0	1 0
14	Do do if not laden	0	0 3
15	Do elephant	1	8 0
16	Do camel if laden	0	8 0
17	Do do if not laden	0	4 0
18	Do horse, if laden or ridden	0	1 6
19	Do do unladen or led	0	0 9
20	Do tattu or mule, if laden or ridden	0	0 9
21	Do do unladen or led	0	0 6
22	Do asses, if laden or ridden	0	0 6
23	Do do unladen or led	0	0 1
24	Do sheep, goat or pig	0	0 1
25	Do palanquin, dholi, palna or tonjon, with 8 bearers	1	0 0
26	Do do with 6 bearers	0	12 0
27	Do do with 4 do	0	8 0
28	Do do with 2 do	0	4 0

N. B.—No toll will be levied from foot passengers.

P. N. PURNAIYA,
Deputy Commissioner.

KADUR DISTRICT.

PROCLAMATION OF SALE.

The 9th February 1891,

In the Court of the Munsiff of Chikmagalur Sub-Division,

Original Suit No. 213 of 1882 on the file of the late Subordinate Judge Court, Kadur District.—Execution Case No. 488 of 1890 on the file of this Court.

Plaintiff.—

Davangere Bhima Rao, who transferred his decree in Original Suit No. 213 of 1882, on the file of the late Subordinate Judge Court, Kadur District, to B. A. Britto, and got the same decree attached, in execution of the decree in Original Suit No. 258 of 1890, on the file of this Court, against the said B. A. Britto.

Defendants.—

1st. Syed Khadar Badsha Khadri, residing at Attigundi, Chikmagalur Taluk,
 Vs. 2nd. Momun Badsha Khadri's wife Khalandar Bibi, 3rd. Syed Jamalsha Khadri, residing at the said Attigundi, 4th. Anthoni Britto, residing at Mangalore, 5th. Anavatti Raghunatha Rao, residing at Kadur.

The decree in Original Suit No. 213 of 1882, above referred to, is for Rs. 7,400-13-2 and the attached coffee estate is held liable to this decree amount. This decree was attached in execution of the decree of the Chikmagalur Munsiff's Court in Original Suit No. 258 of 1890 and the decree-holder D. Bhima Rao, having obtained permission to execute the decree in Original Suit No. 213 of 1882, the said estate is ordered to be sold in execution of the said decree. A notice is hereby given to the public that the undermentioned estate will, at 11 A. M., on the 11th March 1891, be sold by auction, at Chikmagalur before the Chikmagalur Munsiff's Court, by the Nazir of the Court (or other person appointed), to the highest bidder and without reserve, the right, title and interest of the said 1st, 3rd, 4th and 5th Defendants, in the immovable property hereunder specified, and every power of disposing of the same or of the profits arising therefrom, which the said Defendants (1, 3, 4 and 5) may now consistently with the law exercise for their own benefit:—

Lot Number.	Situation of property and its extent, &c.	Description of lot including all particulars described in Amin's report.	Survey No., Municipal No. or other fiscal designations.	Government Revenue including Local-Cess, any other known fiscal charge resting on the lot and showing any arrears due.	Present occupant, if known.	Mortgage or lien subject to which attachment has been continued and sale is to be made under Sections 282 and 295 of the Code of Civil Procedure.	List of rights, charges and claims to which the lot is supposed to be liable after inquiry under Section 287 of the Code of Civil Procedure and Rules made thereunder by the High Court.	List of claims to raise attachment rejected wholly or in part under Section 278 of the Code of Civil Procedure.
1	The estate,—which was formerly attached to Maylehulavathi grama of Lakshavalli Taluk; but now to the village Dattatraya Pita of Jagrada Magani, Kadur	(a) The estate is fit for coffee cultivation,— $\frac{2}{3}$ of which being cultivated and the remaining $\frac{1}{3}$ waste.	Recent Survey Number 296.	The arrears of "Kandayam" due, is said to be Rs. 206 (vide Chikmagalur	B. A. Britto.	The Plaintiff filed an affidavit to the following effect:— that the property is held liable for the decree amount, in Original Suit No. 213 of 1882;	Nil.	Nil.